

Table 4-1. StreamWISE Projects Weighting and Scoring Criteria
 [COMMENTS DUE BY 4 PM ON THURSDAY, 11/29/2007]^(a)

	Criteria	Weight	Score						Maximum Possible Score	Maximum Possible Score	Maximum Possible Score	Maximum Possible Score	
			0	1	2	3	4	5					
PRIMARY OBJECTIVES	A	Project provides flood protection (for public safety and property protection)	3	Provides no flood protection	Provides flood protection for open space areas	Provides flood protection for commercial areas	Provides flood protection for commercial and industrial areas	Provides flood protection for residential areas	Provides flood protection for critical facilities	15	10%	60	42%
	B	Project meets regionally identified goals of providing flood protection and/or stormwater management	3	Provides no improvement in regional flood protection	Localized Flood Protection Improvement: Protects small (less than 20 acres) are from flooding (to lower than 1% frequency)	Neighborhood-Scale Flood Protection		Regional/Community-Scale Flood Protection		15	10%		
	C	Implementation of projects benefit to other downstream projects	3	No benefit to other downstream projects		Provides benefit to one other downstream project			Provides benefit to multiple other downstream projects	15	10%		
	D	Project provides environmental benefit and/or mitigation credit	3	Provides no benefit or mitigation credit	Provides little benefit, but no mitigation credit	Provides some benefit, but no mitigation credit	Provides significant benefit, but no mitigation credit	Provides mitigation credit, but not in-kind or in-stream	Provides in-kind and in-stream mitigation credit	15	10%		
IMPLEMENTABILITY	E	Project is constructable	2	Project is not constructable FATAL FLAW	May have major construction challenges, but will be resolvable	May have minor construction challenges, and is difficult to resolve	May have minor construction challenges, and is not difficult to resolve	Likely to have no construction challenges	No construction challenges are foreseen	10	7%	50	35%
	F	Project is permitable	2	Project is not permitable FATAL FLAW	May have major permit issues, but will be resolvable	May have minor permit issues, and is difficult to resolve	May have minor permit issues, and is not difficult to resolve	Likely to have no permit issues	No permit issues are foreseen	10	7%		
	G	Project does not necessarily require a partnership with other agencies in order to be implementable (e.g., does not require culvert replacement by others)	2	Requires partnership(s) for multiple items		Requires partnership(s) for one item			Does not require partnership(s)	10	7%		
	H	Project can be developed in distinct phases which individually provide distinct benefits	2	No phasing possible		Can be developed in phases, but not with distinct benefits			Can be developed in individual phases with distinct benefits	10	7%		
	I	Project is ready to be implemented without major delays	2	Project will take at least five years to implement	Project can be implemented within next four years	Project can be implemented within next three years	Project can be implemented within next two years	Project can be implemented within next year	Project can be implemented immediately	10	7%		
OTHER ISSUES	J	Project provides other ancillary benefits (i.e., recreational)	1	Provides no other benefits	Provides some other benefits, but does not have a partner	Provides a few minor benefits, and does not have a partner	Provides a few minor benefits, and has a partner	Provides many minor benefits, and has a partner	Provides many major benefits, and has a partner	5	3%	33	23%
	K	Project can be implemented with minimal institutional review and approvals	1	Requires review and approval by Zone 7 and multiple other agencies with conflicting requirements	Requires review and approval by Zone 7 and four or more other agencies	Requires review and approval by Zone 7 and three other agencies	Requires review and approval by Zone 7 and two other agencies	Requires review and approval by Zone 7 and one other agency	Requires review and approval by only Zone 7	5	3%		
	L	Project has funding options for flood protection or environmental mitigation portion of project (i.e. grants, low-interest loans, funding partner(s))	1	Has no funding	Has funding for 10% or less of cost	Has funding for 10-33% of cost	Has funding for 33-50% of cost	Has funding for 50% or more of cost	Has funding for 75% or more of cost	5	3%		
	M	Project has funding options for ancillary portion of project (i.e. grants, low-interest loans, funding partner(s))	1	Has no funding	Has funding for 10% or less of cost	Has funding for 10-33% of cost	Has funding for 33-50% of cost	Has funding for 50% or more of cost	Has funding for 75% or more of cost	5	3%		
	N	Project has partnerships between jurisdictions, agencies, or organizations	1	Has no partnerships	Has one partnership	Has two partnerships	Has two + partnerships including County	Has two + partnerships including Regional	Has two + partnerships including County and Regional	5	3%		
	O	Project meets stakeholder concerns	1	Does not meet any stakeholder concerns	Meets a few minor stakeholder concerns	Meets a many minor stakeholder concerns	Meets a few major stakeholder concerns	Meets many stakeholder concerns	Meets all stakeholder concerns	5	3%		
	P	Zone 7 owns the property required for the project	1	Property owned by others	Zone 7 only owns a portion of the property	Zone 7 owns the entire property				2	1%		
	Q	Project is included in the Integrated Regional Water Management Plan	1	No	Yes					1	1%		
TOTAL									143	100%	143	100%	

^(a) Please email comments to: cmahoney@zone7water.com or streamwise@zone7water.com