

**RATING ACTION COMMENTARY**

# **Fitch Rates Zone 7 Water Agency, CA's Revs at 'AA'; Outlook Stable**

Wed 21 Mar, 2018 - 2:00 PM ET

Fitch Ratings-San Francisco-21 March 2018: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AA' rating to the following Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Zone 7 Water Agency, CA (Zone 7) bonds:

--\$66.2 million water revenue bonds 2018 series A to be issued by the Livermore Valley Water Financing Authority.

The bonds are scheduled to be sold via negotiation on March 28, 2018. Proceeds will refund approximately \$16 million of obligations to the Cawelo Water District and provide about \$57 million of funding for Zone 7's ongoing capital improvement plan, including upgrades to allow ozone treatment of water of surface water supplies.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

## **SECURITY**

The bonds are secured by a first lien on net water revenues including connection fees but excluding property tax revenues.

## KEY RATING DRIVERS

**ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION:** The 'AA' rating reflects the agency's strong but variable financial performance and low direct debt burden, balanced against high fixed cost burden and significant connection fee revenue variability.

**SOLID REVENUE DEFENSIBILITY:** Zone 7 provides wholesale water services to a growing, affluent suburban service area in the San Francisco Bay Area. The agency's elected board retains the authority to adjust rates as necessary without outside regulatory approval. Local water retailers buy water under take-and-pay contracts with a significant fixed charge. The district's recently revised rate structure is expected to deliver relatively stable water revenues, which together with very stable property tax revenues pay a significant amount of fixed costs. However, the agency is highly dependent on economically cyclical connection fee revenues.

**MEANINGFUL OPERATING RISKS:** Zone 7's primary operating risks are dependence on highly variable imported water supplies, a cost structure with significant fixed obligations, and expected future capital pressures. The agency is dependent on the California State Water Project (SWP) for supplies with deliveries varying widely depending on weather conditions. Direct debt is very low, but the agency has substantial long-term obligations for capital costs related to the SWP. The agency continues to explore major supply reliability initiatives to meet the needs of its growing service area, which could require significant debt issuance in the years ahead.

**VARIABLE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE:** Financial performance is expected to vary across the business cycle due to the agency's dependence on development-related connection fees. Performance is expected to be very strong during expansions but to fall to more moderate levels in economic contractions. The agency forecasts debt service coverage (DSC) above 8x over the next five years, but Fitch-calculated coverage would fall below 1x without connection fees. Zone 7 retains solid financial reserves to withstand periods of weakness with 706 days cash on hand at the end of fiscal 2017.

## RATING SENSITIVITIES

**FINANCES, LEVERAGE DRIVE RATING:** The rating could come under downward pressure if the Zone 7 Water Agency fails to sustain rates at a level that provides both strong debt service coverage (above 1.5x) and liquidity (significantly greater

than 365 days cash). The rating could also come under downward pressure if the agency's debt issuance plans increase significantly.

## CREDIT PROFILE

Zone 7 Water Agency is the primary source of water to the Livermore Valley in the eastern San Francisco Bay Area. The agency's four primary customers are the city of Pleasanton, the city of Livermore, the California Water Service Co., and the Dublin San Ramon Services District.

## SOLID REVENUE DEFENSIBILITY

The service area economy is strong with median household income at 235% of national level in the city of Pleasanton and 202% in Livermore. Poverty and unemployment rates are very low. Population growth outpaces the state and the nation.

The service area is largely residential and diverse in terms of ultimate payers, though revenues are somewhat concentrated in its four primary wholesale customers. The agency also sells water directly to a small group of large water users in the area, including the federal government's Lawrence Livermore Lab, local park districts, the state of California and a large vineyard. None of the direct customers is large enough to materially impact the agency's financial performance.

The agency's elected board has the authority to adjust rates without outside regulatory approval and is not subject to the constraints of California's proposition 218. It has adjusted rates as necessary to restore financial performance after periods of weakness. The board imposed significant drought surcharges in fiscal 2017 to recover lost water revenues during the record-breaking 2012 to 2017 drought. It also revamped its water rate structure to include a significant fixed charge equal to 35% of its water enterprise fund's revenue requirement, which is unusual for wholesalers with take and pay contracts. Wholesale contracts expire in the mid-2020s, but Fitch expects contract extensions through the final maturity of the bonds as customers lack meaningful alternatives to the agency's supplies in a relatively dry region with very limited unallocated supplies.

The district's recently revised rate structure is expected to deliver relatively stable water revenues, and very stable property tax revenues pay a significant amount of fixed costs. However, the agency is highly dependent on economically cyclical connection fee revenues. About a third of the agency's revenues came from connection fees in 2017. Over the past 20 years, new connections have averaged about 1,600 a year but varied widely with a high of 3,743 in 2005 and a low of 435 in

2011. The level of new connections fell 88% during the housing market collapse of the last economic downturn. Development is currently occurring at a strong pace with new connections averaging 1,250 over the past five years. Fitch assumes connections will continue to vary widely but also assumes that the district is likely to have significant connection fee revenues even in downturns. This risk is partially mitigated by the district's robust reserves and the legal ability to control other rates.

#### MEANINGFUL OPERATING RISKS

Zone 7 is dependent on the California SWP for about 80% of its supplies, exposing it to periodic supply reductions due to drought and the risk of supply interruptions due to seismic events or levee failures in the ecologically fragile Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. The district has an allocation of 80,619 acre feet of SWP water. SWP deliveries vary widely with hydrological conditions and have also been limited by environmental rulings in recent years. SWP supplies (excluding carryover water) have ranged in recent years from as little as 4,031 acre feet in 2014 to as much as 68,526 acre feet in 2017. Water sales have averaged about 35,000 acre feet annually over the past decade and are expected to rise significantly with continued development.

The agency stores large amounts of water during wet periods in order to withstand periods of low deliveries and has managed the vulnerabilities of its supply portfolio well. Zone 7 stores water in its local groundwater basin, offsite banking programs in Kern County, state reservoirs (carryover water), and the Del Valle Reservoir (local surface water). Its position on the state water project also gives it the capability to enter into open market water purchases during periods of stress. At the end of 2016 as the district entered the final year of the last drought, the agency had 215,400 acre feet of water in storage with about half in local storage (7.9 years of water at 2016 usage).

The expense profile is typical of a water utility with fixed obligations (SWP and debt service payments) making up a large proportion of expenditures. Direct debt will be very low at about \$279 per capita after the current offering, but the agency has substantial long-term obligations for capital costs related to the SWP. The fixed components of SWP charges have equaled about \$40 million, or half of operating expenses, in recent years. While the district benefits from an unlimited ad valorem property tax that funds about half of the SWP costs, it relies on highly volatile connection fees to pay SWP fixed costs related to the expansion of the South Bay Aqueduct, creating a mismatch between the revenue and cost structures. The agency continues to explore major supply reliability initiatives to meet the needs of

its growing service area, which could require significant debt issuance or additions to the fixed cost burden in the years ahead. For instance, the agency has agreed to participate in both California's plan to build SWP supply tunnels under the delta and the proposed Sites Reservoir in the Sacramento Valley.

#### VARIABLE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Financial performance is highly variable but strong on average. The agency hasn't had debt outstanding in recent years, but funds available for debt service have varied widely from just \$5.4 million in a drought-impacted 2015 to a high of \$24 million in 2017, when drought surcharges boosted revenue significantly. Connection fees have been strong across the recent period, somewhat offsetting the impact of drought related water conservation on total revenues. Free cash to depreciation averaged a very strong 227% over the past five years. Liquidity also remained strong across the period with days cash averaging 606 with a low of 486 days.

Financial performance is expected to remain strong after the new debt is issued. The agency's financial forecast shows debt service coverage ranging from 17.8x to 8.1x over the next five years. The agency's forecast appears reasonably conservative, but it is also subject to inherent uncertainty given the difficulty of forecasting connection fee revenues. Fitch-calculated coverage would fall below 1x without connection fees. Fitch's rating assumes periods of recessionary declines in connection fee revenues but does not assume that connection fees decline to zero. The rating would come under downward pressure if coverage fell below 1.5x on a sustained basis, which Fitch does not expect.

Contact:

Primary Analyst  
Andrew Ward  
Director  
+1-415-732-5617  
Fitch Ratings, Inc.  
650 California St.  
San Francisco, CA 94108

Secondary Analyst  
Graham Schnaars  
Analyst  
+1-415-732-7578

Committee Chairperson  
Dennis Pidherny  
Managing Director  
+1-212-908-0738

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212-908-0278, Email:  
[sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com](mailto:sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com)

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)

### **Applicable Criteria**

[Rating Criteria for Public-Sector, Revenue-Supported Debt \(pub. 26 Feb 2018\)](#)  
[U.S. Water and Sewer Rating Criteria \(pub. 30 Nov 2017\)](#)

### **Additional Disclosures**

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)  
[Solicitation Status](#)  
[Endorsement Policy](#)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:  
[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT [WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM](http://www.fitchratings.com). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE

FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2018 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch

rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see



<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

## **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

## **ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

---

US Public Finance   Infrastructure and Project Finance   North America   United States

---

